

American Association of Geographers

1710 Sixteenth Street Northwest Washington, DC 20009-3198 Voice 202-234-1450 Fax 202-234-2744 gaia@aag.org http://www.aag.org

AAG Councillors

President

Glen MacDonald University of California Los Angeles

Vice President

Derek Alderman University of Tennessee

Past

President

Sarah Bednarz Texas A&M University

Secretary

Thomas Mote University of Georgia

Treasurer

Julie Cidell University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

> Stuart Aitken San Diego State University

Julie Cidell University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

> David DiBiase Esri

John Hayes Salem State University

J.M. Shawn Hutchinson Kansas State University

Sriram Khe' Western Oregon University

Patrick Lawrence University of Toledo

> Robert Mason Temple University

Cathleen McAnneny University of Maine Farmington

> Thomas Mote University of Georgia

Gregory Pope Montclair State University

Darren Purcell University of Oklahoma

Susan M. Roberts University of Kentucky

Susy S. Ziegler Northern Michigan University

> Executive Director Douglas Richardson

May 26, 2017

The Honorable Thad Cochran, Chairman The Honorable Patrick Leahy, Ranking Member U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Rodney Frelinghuysen, Chairman The Honorable Nita Lowey, Ranking Member U.S. House Committee on Appropriations

Dear Chairmen Cochran and Frelinghuysen and Ranking Members Leahy and Lowey,

We sent the attached letter to you in late March regarding the Administration's budget blueprint. Now that the full budget has been released, we felt it was important to reaffirm the thoughts we shared with you previously and to offer insights about a few issues that were not covered in the blueprint document.

We at the American Association of Geographers (AAG) are especially concerned about the proposed cut of \$800 million (11 percent) from the budget of the National Science Foundation (NSF). The Foundation provides research grants and education activities that power our nation's innovation and economic competitiveness. A loss of \$800 million in funding would weaken NSF's ability to support the cutting-edge science that has made a difference in every American's life. We ask that you reject this shortsighted cut.

Another aspect of the budget that concerns us is the Administration's stated goal of reducing reimbursement of indirect costs in research grants. Indirect costs, which support salaries, facilities, and other expenses crucial to carrying out funded projects, are a vital component of Federal research grants. Most institutions would face significant difficultly in adapting to such a policy change and it would likely cause many universities and others to rethink their approach to seeking grant funding from Federal agencies.

You have our best wishes as you begin your work in earnest on the Fiscal Year 2018 appropriations process. As we noted in March, we would be willing to share the geographic expertise of our members and our geographical information systems analytical tools to assist in your analysis of the impacts of the budget proposal, as well as alternative budget scenarios. Please do not hesitate to call on us.

Sincerely,

Douglas Richardson, PhD

Long Richardson

Executive Director